

**250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-43044 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 13, 2015

Veer Singh and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sohan Lal Goel, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for the quashing of the FIR No.79, dated 12.06.2014, under Sections 323, 452, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.10.2014 (Annexure P-2).

After recording the statements of the complainant/injured as well as the accused, learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla, reported that he is of the opinion that the parties have entered into a compromise without any pressure or coercion.

As the parties have entered into a compromise, the FIR in question along with all the consequential proceedings stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

February 13, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE