

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42922-2015

Date of Decision : 18.12.2015

Rani Kumari and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Jitender Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.B.S,Ichhewael, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr.Gautam Kailey, Standing Cousnel for UT, Chandigarh.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record photocopy of her Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 25.09.1997 and petitioner No.2 has also placed on record photocopy of his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) depicting his year of birth as 1989. They have also moved a representation before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Respondent No.5, who is the mother of the girl, has appeared through counsel and states that she wanted to meet her daughter. She is allowed to meet her in my chamber but the girl states that she does not want to go to her parents and apprehends threat to her life and to the life of her husband i.e. petitioner No.2. Both the petitioners prayed that they be sent to Protection Home, UT, Chandigarh.

In view of the threat perception apprehended by the petitioners it is directed that they both be sent to Protection Home, UT, Chandigarh and be kept there till they perceive the threat. Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh is directed to provide protection to them during their stay at Protection Home, UT, Chandigarh.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be handed over dasti to learned counsel for the petitioners and to learned Standing Counsel for UT, Chandigarh under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

December 18, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE