

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.42969 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19th May, 2015

Parveen Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 09.03.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Sohna has been received on the basis of statements of accused/petitioners Parveen Kumar husband, Chet Ram father-in-law and Maya Devi mother-in-law as well as the complainant Urmila whereby the Court has shown its satisfaction that the parties have arrived at a compromise and thereafter they have started living together.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure matrimonial dispute and the fact that the parties have since then

started living together coupled with the fact that compromise has gone a long way in ironing out differences and strengthening the matrimonial cord, the prayer made in this petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.67 dated 22.03.2013 registered at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurgaon under Sections 498A, 323, 506, 377 IPC and all consequences arising thereof are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 19, 2015
rps