

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-42938 of 2014
Date of Decision: 22.01.2015

Mukhtiar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.C. PURI.

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

K.C. PURI, J. (ORAL) 0

The petitioner-Mukhtiar Singh has applied for grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No. 17, dated 03.04.2012, under Section 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar.

As per allegation of the prosecution, the petitioner alongwith three other accused has cheated the complainant for a sum of ₹ 30 lacs.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27.03.2014 and there are no allegation of tampering. So, he is entitled for concession of bail.

A hard earned money of ₹ 30 lacs has been taken away by the petitioner alongwith other co-accused.

So far as the authority in **State of Rajasthan Versus Balchand @ Baliay, (1977) 4 SCC 308** is concerned, only law point has been discussed and it is not mentioned that what was the offence in that case.

So far as the authority in **Sanjay Chandra Versus Central Burearu of Investigation (2012) 1 SCC 40** is concerned, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that each case has to be decided on its own facts.

So, in view of above, no ground of grant of regular bail is made out.

Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed.

January 22, 2015
dk kamra

(K.C. PURI)
JUDGE