

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No.M-42891 of 2014

Date of decision:05.05.2015

Tarsem Singh @ Nikka

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM No.M-25027 of 2014

Vicky Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

3. CRM No.M-4015 of 2015

Sandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate,
Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate and
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

.....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM No.M-42891 of 2014 titled as Tarsem Singh @ Nikka Vs. State of Punjab, CRM No.M-25027 of 2014 titled as Vicky Singh Vs. State of Punjab and CRM No.M-4015 of 2015 titled as Sandeep Singh Vs. State of Punjab as all these three petitions have been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking the benefit of regular bail to the petitioners pending trial in case FIR No.108 dated 07.05.2014, under

Sections 399/402 IPC, registered at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

The present petitioners have been roped on the basis of secret information having been received by the police party concerned that they have allegedly formed a gang and are staying together in a deserted place armed with weapons and with an intention to commit serious crime. Apparently, on the basis of such secret information, a raid was conducted and it is alleged that from Tarsem Singh @ Nikka (petitioner in CRM No.M-42891 of 2014), an iron rod was recovered, from Vicky Singh (petitioner in CRM No.M-25027 of 2014, a laptop was recovered and from Sandeep Singh (petitioner in CRM No.M-4015 of 2015), a *dah* was recovered.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Surjit Singh would submit that investigation in the present case having been completed, challan was presented on 05.07.2014. State counsel would further submit that out of 11 prosecution witness cited only 2 have been examined till date. The trial, as such, would take time to conclude.

The petitioners have been in custody since 07.05.2014.

Keeping in view the custody period already suffered by the petitioners and without making any observations on the merits of the case, all the three petitions are allowed.

Petitioners be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Moga.

Disposed of.

05.05.2015

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**