

CRM-M-42871 of 2014
Date of Decision: 16.02.2015

Nitish Kumar

---Petitioner

versus

Stte of Punjab

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Gazi Mohammad, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that as per allegations, 150 grams of intoxicating powder was recovered from possession of the petitioner but till date report from the Forensic Science Laboratory has not been received to know the contents of said recovery. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in custody for the past more than six months.

Counsel for the State fairly concedes that report from the Forensic Science Laboratory has not been received.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, the petitioner is allowed interim bail subject to outcome of analysis report on his furnishing bail bonds to satisfaction of Judge,

Special Court (A), Gurdaspur with an undertaking to be furnished by the petitioner that he would surrender before the Judge, Special Court (A), Gurdaspur as soon as report of the forensic Science Laboratory is received and he gets intimation thereof. However, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

16.02.2015
PARAMJIT