

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42837-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.01.2015

Vikas @ Vicky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Mahabir Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.342 dated 30.07.2014, registered under Sections 148, 149, 285, 336, 307 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC'), and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kharkhoda, Distt. Sonapat.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier, the FIR was registered under Sections 148, 149, 285, 336 IPC, wherein, the petitioner was admitted to bail vide order dated 04.08.2014 (Annexure P-1). Subsequently, Section 307 IPC was added. The

petitioner was taken into custody on 12.11.2014. The petitioner did not misuse the concession of bail. He further states that it is a case of no injury.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that a shot fired by the petitioner hit the gate of the complainant's premises. However, she admits that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

Heard.

Keeping in view the facts that it is a case of no injury, the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and he did not misuse the concession of bail granted to him vide order dated 04.08.2014, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

29.01.2015

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE