

JAGDISH RAI VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

Present : Mr. S.N. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, D.A.G, Haryana.

Mr. H.N. Sahu, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

Respondent No.2-DSP is present in person and states that firstly no message was received and secondly though cancellation report has been prepared but the same has to be approved and submitted to the Court.

Learned DAG states that this is correct and the information which was given on the last date was the result of a bonafide mistake. In the circumstances, the explanation is accepted.

In view of the fact that cancellation report has been prepared, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is dismissed as such.

DECEMBER 03, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**