

246 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-42659 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 09, 2015

Varun Khanna

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for the quashing of the FIR No.83, dated 16.09.2014, under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Shahpur Kandi, District Pathankot along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

After recording the statements of the complainant as well as the accused, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot has come to the conclusion that the husband and wife have compromised their matrimonial dispute. Now, the complainant-wife does not want to pursue the present case.

A perusal of the FIR shows that it is a matrimonial dispute.

Since the matrimonial dispute between the husband and wife has been amicably settled, therefore, the FIR in question along with all the consequential proceedings stands quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

February 09, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE