

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-42532 of 2015(O & M)
Date of Decision:-19.12.2015**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for directing respondent No.2 for initiation action on the representation (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner bank against respondent Nos.4 to 6 and further to issue direction to register a criminal case against respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Admittedly, the petitioner has entered into an agreement with respondent Nos.4 to 6, and, therefore, the petitioner has a remedy to invoke the legal remedy as available and discussed in the said agreement. The petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation (Annexure P-3).

Without commenting upon the right of the petitioner as the petitioner has a remedy in the light of the agreement executed between the parties, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, is directed to look into the contents of the representation and to take action in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

December 19, 2015
Vijay Asija

(*HARI PAL VERMA*)
JUDGE