

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.42642 of 2014

Date of decision: 31st March, 2015

Amrita and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted by the learned State counsel that the petitioners have made statements before the police that they no more require protection as per their prayer made in the present petition and which fact is not controverted by the petitioners' counsel Mr. Sachin Gupta and in view of which the matter has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 31, 2015

rps