

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42524 of 2015.

Decided on:-22.12.2015.

Lovejeet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vinay Kumar Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.302 dated 20.10.2015 under Section 363, 366(A), 376 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station, Patti, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegations against the petitioner are that he along with other co-accused, allured Ramandeep Kaur, who is daughter of complainant Jatinder Singh, to solemnize marriage with accused Jaskaran Singh. He further submits that the petitioner is the unfortunate father of Jaskaran Singh and is in custody since 20.10.2015. He also contends that even otherwise the whereabouts of

Jaskaran Singh and Ramandeep Kaur are not known to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Sukhchain Singh, states that there are allegations against the petitioner that he allured Ramandeep Kaur, who is daughter of the complainant. He further submits that both Jaskaran Singh and Ramandeep Kaur are minors and are not traceable.

Heard.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.10.2015 and the allegation of alluring the daughter of complainant to solemnize marriage with the son of petitioner is yet to be established during the trial, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in further custody. Therefore, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall be at liberty to decide the case on the basis of availability of evidence.

December 22, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE