

119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-4241 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 09, 2015

Rinki Kumari and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with their counsel
Ms. Ruchi Sekhri.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 02.02.2015. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-5. The photocopy of Secondary School Examination Certificate, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and the photocopy of driving licence, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 2 have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure-P-4.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 03.02.2015 (Annexure-P-3) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to consider the application of the petitioners dated 03.02.2015 (Annexure-P-3) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 09, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE