

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42518 of 2014

Decided on: February 19, 2015.

Irshad

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is second application for grant of regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently urged that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case for the reasons that the brother of the petitioner had been murdered by the brother of the prosecutrix and he is facing trial.

During course of arguments, it transpires that neither the Bulero vehicle in which the offence was allegedly committed has been recovered nor site plan of the place where rape had been committed in Rajasthan, has been prepared.

Without expression of any opinion on merits at this stage without prejudice to the right of the prosecution or the petitioner, avoiding any comment on merits, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the second application for bail with a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial within a period of two months after receipt of certified copy of this order as only one prosecution witnesses out of ten remains to be examined.

Disposed of with above said directions.

It will be open to the petitioner to raise all the pleas taken up in this petition at opportune time before the trial Court.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2015

harsha

HARSHA RANI
2015.02.21 13:26
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document