

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-42477 of 2014
Date of Decision:-15.12.2015**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. A.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the present petition, offence under Section 406 IPC has also been added. He wishes to withdraw the present to enable him to file petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. before the learned Sessions Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

However, in case the petitioner prefers anticipatory bail application before the Sessions Court within 10 days from today, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. Thereafter, the Sessions Court will decide the bail application on the basis of available material.

December 15, 2015
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**