

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42458-2014
Date of decision: 3.12.2015

Suraj Rattee @ Suraj Bal

...Petitioner

Versus

Monika

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Jitender Nara, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. M. S. Kathuria, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Nara, Advocate for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner, by way of instant petition, seeks quashing of impugned complaint as well as summoning order, however, without availing his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

Admittedly, petitioner has not availed his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioner is relegated to his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition, if necessity arises. It is also made clear that if the petitioner would file appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioner.

Disposed of, accordingly.

3.12.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE