

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42257-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.12.2015

Bawa Singh @ Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Avtar Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.105 dated 22.06.2014, registered under Sections 22 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station City Sunam, Distt. Sangrur.

The learned counsel contends that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner either from his conscious possession or from his premises. He has been falsely implicated in the present FIR on the basis of the disclosure statement made by co-accused, Ram Pal,

ATUL SETHI
2015.12.17 17:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

who has stated the alleged recovered contraband was purchased from the petitioner. He is not involved in any other case.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the assertion made by the learned counsel for the petitioner to the extent that no recovery was effected from the petitioner. She further informs that the challan has been presented and the charges stand framed.

Heard.

In this case, no recovery was effected from the petitioner either from his conscious possession or from any of his premises. Though, the challan has already been presented, but the trial is yet to commence.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

17.12.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE