

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.No.M-42234 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15th December, 2015

Ram Sarup

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S.Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for cancellation of anticipatory bail, granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 05.10.2015, passed by this Court, in case FIR No.109 dated 02.09.2015, registered at Police Station Division No.4, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC.

The learned counsel for the petitioner mainly contended that respondent No.2 Gurminder Kaur alias Gurwinder Kaur is giving threats to the petitioner and misusing the benefit of anticipatory bail granted to her.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, this Court finds that first of all, respondent No.2 is a lady. The dispute between the parties is

regarding breach of agreement to sell regarding which the civil proceedings are pending. There is no specific allegation that on which date, time, and place, the threat was given. Only the general averments are given that respondent No.2 is giving threats.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court does not find any ground to cancel the anticipatory bail, granted to respondent No.2.

Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is dismissed.

15th December, 2015
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE