

**202-b IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-4213 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 19, 2015**

Gurmail Singh and another **Petitioners**

vs.

State of Punjab and another **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Damandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh, states that the petitioners have surrendered before the trial court and have been enlarged on bail.

A perusal of the order dated 15.01.2015 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.1418 of 2014, shows that previously on the statement of complainant Kulwant Singh, FIR No.28, dated 08.03.2014, under Sections 452, 323, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, was registered at Police Station Singh Bhagwantpur, District Rupnagar. However, four days later, the complainant filed a criminal complaint No.16 of 12.03.2014, under

sections 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3(x)(1) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ropar, in which two more persons were named and the filthy abuses were also attributed to them, which is improved over the version given to the police.

Learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed that no complaint was filed before the higher authority that his complete version was not recorded by the police.

In view of the matter the interim bail granted to the petitioners by this Court vide order dated 15.01.2015, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed accordingly.

February 19, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE