

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 42077 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision : February 09, 2015

P Kumar,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana,

..... Respondent

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. RS Sihota, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G Haryana

\*\*\*

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.65, dated 23.2.2014, registered under Sections 20/61/85 of the NDPS Act and Section 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Surajkund, District Faridabad.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the only evidence against the petitioner is that he is the owner of the car wherein the contraband was being transported and to prove the connivance/consent of the petitioner, there is only the statement of his co-accused and no other evidence.

Counsel for the respondent states that in view of the huge

recovery (280 kgs of ganja), it may not be appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner but it may be more in the interest of justice to put a time cap on the trial and the prosecution will conclude its evidence within three months subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

I find this to be a fair request. In the circumstances, this petition is dismissed and it is directed that in case the prosecution fails to conclude its evidence within three months, subject to the accused not obstructing the same, the trial Court will release the petitioner on bail to its satisfaction.

**February 09, 2015**  
**'kk'**

**( AJAY TEWARI )**  
**JUDGE**