

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.No.M-41939 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11th December, 2015

Vivan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Iqbal S.Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.147 dated 15.09.2015, registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that Vinay Kumar is the main accused and he has been arrested in this case. He prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

The FIR in the present case has been registered on the basis of complaint filed by complainant Gobind Singh. As per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner alongwith co-accused cheated the complainant etc. for Rs.3,80,000/- by stating that they will invest the money in share market. It is also stated in the FIR that when the

complainant etc. tried to contact the petitioner, they came to know that fraud has been played with them and the petitioner and co-accused have left their houses and they have gone to Kotkapura.

From the perusal of the record, this Court finds that the petitioner is named in the FIR; specific allegations have been levelled against him and he is required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court does not find any ground to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is dismissed.

11th December, 2015
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE