IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41883-2015 (O&M).
Decided on: December 10, 2015.

Madan **.. Petitioner(s)**

VERSUS

Indersi and another **.. Respondent(s)**

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Amit Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 6.2.2015, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Rohtak, dismissing the revision petition against order dated 29.10.2014, passed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rohtak, awarding interim maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per month to the respondents i.e., Rs.3000/- per month for respondent No.1 and Rs.2000/- per month for respondent No.2.

The grievance of the petitioner is that he is a retired Government employee getting only Rs.8905/- per month as pension and that respondent No.1 is not his legally wedded wife as he is already married to one Beena and that respondent No.1 is wife of one Messi.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner.

The disputed questions of fact cannot be gone into in detail as no document has been made available by the petitioner indicating that respondent No.1 is married to some other person or that respondent No.2 is not the son of the petitioner born out of the relationship of the petitioner and respondent No.1 as husband and wife.

No ground is made out for interference in the impugned order.

The petition is dismissed. However, a direction is issued to the trial Court to expeditiously dispose of the petition by giving short dates of hearing to enable the parties to establish their respective case.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 10, 2015.
rka

RAJ KUMAR ARORA
2015.12.11 16:24
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document