

CRM-M-41937-2014 and connected cases

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 09.04.2015

1. CRM-M-41937-2014

Davinder Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

2. CRM-M-41989-2014

Mohan Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

3. CRM-M-43685-2014

Jagtar Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

AND

4. CRM-M-110-2015

Kuldeep Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CRM-M-41937-2014 and connected cases

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. J.B.S.Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-41937-2014.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-41989-2014 and
CRM-M-110-2015.

Mr. N.S.Diwana, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-43685-2014.

Mr. K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Jain, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-41937-2014, titled 'Davinder Singh vs. State of Punjab', CRM-M-41989-2014, titled 'Mohan Singh vs. State of Punjab', CRM-M-43685-2014, titled 'Jagtar Singh vs. State of Punjab' and CRM-M-110-2015, titled 'Kuldeep Singh vs. State of Punjab' as the same have been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.124 dated 20.11.2014, registered at Police Station Mahilpur, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 419/420/406/465/467/468/471/120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

CRM-M-41937-2014 and connected cases

During the investigation, it has come on record that original owner of the property in dispute was one Joginder Singh, who died in Canada on 14.03.1995. His property was allegedly sold in the year 2013 by impersonation. The role of petitioners in execution of sale deed is eminent. There are specific allegations against petitioner-Davinder Singh Lambardar that he had identified one Mewa Singh impersonating as Joginder Singh, a dead person. Similarly, there are allegations against other petitioners that they had not only executed the sale deed by way of fraud but also prepared other documents such as identity card, driving licence etc. To blow the lid off fraud allegedly committed by the petitioners, their custodial interrogation is required.

In view of above, the petitioners are not entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

Interim relief granted to the petitioners stand vacated.

09.04.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge