

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CRM-M-41786-2014 (O&M).
Decided on: January 22, 2015.**

Prabhu Dayal and another

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondent(s)

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

**PRESENT Mr.Satbir Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL).

This is a petition for quashing of FIR by father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant alleging that they are residing at Amritsar and that they have been falsely implicated in the case.

During the course of arguments, it transpires that charges have already been framed in the case. It will not be appropriate for this Court to enter into appreciation of evidence at pre trial stage.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to raise all the pleas before the trial Court. However, a request has been made that the petitioners being old parents residing at a distance place indulgence may be shown by exempting their personal appearance. In this context, it is ordered that it will be open to the petitioners to file an application for exemption from personal appearance as per provisions of Section 273 Cr.P.C. If any such application is filed, it is expected that the trial Court shall take into consideration the circumstances put before the Court and may allow the same subject to any conditions imposed.

Disposed of.

**January 22, 2015.
rka**

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**