

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41656 of 2015.

Decided on:-15.12.2015.

Satbir Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Petitioner Satbir Singh son of Suraj Mal has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to him in case FIR No.209 dated 10.06.2015 under Sections 147, 148, 323, 325, 427 and 506 IPC (Section 302 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station, Murthal, District Sonapat.

Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that marriage of daughter of the petitioner, namely, Anita was solemnised with Nitin son of the complainant on 3.11.2014. The members of in-laws family of the daughter of petitioner were greedy and used to torture Anita physically as well as

mentally. On 10.6.2015, the in-laws of the daughter of the petitioner planned to murder Anita and had even sprinkled kerosene upon her. However, she managed to save herself from their clutches and came open in the street. Anita did not want to reside in the matrimonial house and, therefore, the complainant along with his wife Geeta, Indu son of Chander Bhan, Bimla wife of Indu, Urmila wife of Suresh and 2-3 other persons of the village went to the parental house of Anita in a vehicle. When they reached in front of the house of the petitioner, then Anita, who is daughter-in-law of the complainant, raised Lalkara on which, petitioner Satbir Singh, his son Sandeep @ Kala, wife of Satbir and 2-3 other persons came there carrying Lathis, Jellis in their hands and started beating them. The assailants caused injuries to them and they were rescued at the intervention of the villagers. Initially, the case was registered under Sections 147, 148, 323, 427 and 506 IPC, but injured Bimla wife of Inder Singh died during treatment and, therefore, Section 302 IPC was added later on.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Even deceased Bimla, while she was injured, had recorded under Section 161 Cr.PC wherein she has not attributed any injury to the petitioner. The investigation of the case has already been completed and Challan has been presented. He has further contended that the trial will take sufficient long time and has prayed that the petitioner may be admitted to

bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, has argued that there is attribution of injury qua the petitioner as well as all the accused had attacked the complainant party with Lathis and Jellis and, therefore, the complicity of the petitioner in offence under Section 302 read with Section 149 IPC is established.

Heard.

As per the medico-legal report, as many as 8 injuries have been attributed to the deceased. The allegations against the petitioner are that he along with other co-accused had formed an unlawful assembly and caused death of Bimla Devi in prosecution of the common object of that assembly by caused injuries to her. The material witnesses are yet to be examined. Therefore, I find no ground to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the instant petition, being without any merit, is dismissed.

Needless to say that the observations made hereinabove shall have no expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of availability of evidence.

December 15, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE