

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.41768 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.09.2015**

Jagjit Singh @ Honey and others

..... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S.Gurna, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 3 along with ASI Jagtar Singh.

Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.60 dated 02.06.2013, under Sections 307, 452, 323, 506, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 325, IPC added later on) (**Annexure P-1**), registered with Police Station Doraha, Police District Khanna along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.11.2014 (**Annexure P-2**) arrived at between the parties.

Five petitioners, who have been accused of entering the house of complainant, to take revenge qua the previous alleged death of uncle of the co-accused-Janak Singh at the hands of the complainants, and thereafter, inflicted injuries on the vital parts of the body i.e. head, eye etc. There are four injuries on the person of complainant and out of which, two have been opined to be grievous in nature and at least one on the head opined to be dangerous to life.

Vide order dated 08.12.2014, this Court directed the learned trial

Court to send report with regard to compromise in pursuance of which, a

report/letter No.130 dated 29.01.2015 has been received from the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, which is taken on record as **Mark-A**.

At the time of arguments, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagtar Singh, submits that out of 19 prosecution witnesses, 13 have been examined. He further submits that only formal witnesses remain to be examined.

Keeping in view the advance stage of the trial and the receipt of injuries, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the case.

At this stage, learned Counsel for the petitioners prays for permission to withdraw the present petition.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

September 18, 2015
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE