

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41524-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.12.2015

Sukhraj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. T.S. Sangha, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Inder Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.87 dated 01.09.2015, registered under Sections 494, 406, 420, 380 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC') and Sections 497, 498, 506, 120-B IPC added subsequently, registered at Police Station Satnampura, District Kapurthala.

Contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been implicated in the present case on the statement made by the maid-servant working at the house of the complainant, Gurmeet Singh. The petitioner had gone to the house of the complainant after the wife of the complainant made a call to the police over phone No.100 on

being harassed by certain boys residing as paying guests in the locality, being a police constable and on PCR duty. The petitioner has nothing to do with the matrimonial life of the complainant and his wife.

The co-accused, Jeevan Kumari, is aged around 45 years and having children of the similar age group as that of the petitioner. The main accused, Jeewan Kumari @ Daljit Kaur and the complainant are husband and wife and have been residing in the same house. The petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but the recovery is yet to be effected.

Heard.

The petitioner is not named in the FIR. The dispute essentially is a matrimonial discord between the husband and his wife. The petitioner has already joined the investigation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.12.2015, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

22.12.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

ATUL SETHI
2015.12.23 13:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh