

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-41600 of 2014

Date of decision: 13.05.2015

Lakhwinder Singh and others

----Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab & others

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Ms. Manpreet Kaur,, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 to 4 not to harass the petitioners on account of some false implication of the brother of petitioner No.1, namely Budh Singh son of Sh. Jarnail Singh, in case FIR No. 110, dated 13.11.2014, for offence under Sections 411, 414 IPC, Section 3, 34, 20 Identification of Prisoners Act, Section 14 Foreigners Act, Sections 3,4,5 Official Secrets Act, 1923, 66 Information Technology Act, 2009, Sections 18, 21, 22, 61-85 N.D.P.S. Act and Section 25-54-59 of the Arms Act.

In response of notice of motion, learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Parminder Singh, PPS,

Deputy Superintendent of Police, City, Gurdaspur, on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

In para 7 of the reply reads as under:-

“That the contents of para No.7 of the petition are denied being wrong, It is submitted that the local police has not been harassing the petitioners by visiting their house. The police party of the concerned police station had raided the house of the petitioners to arrest of the remaining accused Bodh Singh @ Bodhu i.e. brother of the petitioner No.1. Rest of this contents regarding to comply with the provisions of section 160 Cr.P.C. It is respectfully submitted that in the meantimes the notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. be given to the petitioners.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh, states that the police party has not been harassing the petitioners by visiting their house. He further submits that the police party of the concerned police station had raided the house of the petitioners to arrest the remaining accused, namely, Bodh Singh @ Bodhu i.e. brother of the petitioner No.1.

In view of the above, no further action is required to be taken in the present case.

Disposed of.

May 13, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE