

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.41513 of 2014
DATE OF DECISION:12.02.2015

Manish @ Manoj

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

M.M.S.BEDI, J.(ORAL)

On the basis of confessional statement made by the petitioner and the recovery of the weapon of offences having been got effected, pursuant to the statement it will not be feasible for this Court to arrive at the conclusion regarding the culpability of the petitioner.

The petitioner is in custody w.e.f. 28.05.2013. The case against the petitioner is based on circumstantial evidence. Twelve out of seventeen witness of prosecution having already been examined, I deem it appropriate to issue a direction in the present case that prosecution agency will produce the entire remaining evidence within a period of six months from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court. In case the prosecution agency is not able to produce all the witnesses, the trial Court will release the petitioner on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

It is also observed here that the prosecution agency shall not intentionally delay the production of its remaining five witnesses before the trial Court.

The petition is disposed of with the above directions.

12.02.2015
rimpal

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE