

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,  
AT CHANDIGARH

216

**CRM-M-4132-2015**  
Decided on : 23.03.2015

*Sachin Chholey*

*... Petitioner*

*Versus*

*State of Haryana*

*... Respondents*

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present : Mr.L.S.Lakhanpal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Mr.Anil Mehta, DAG, Haryana.  
Mr.Deepinder Singh, Advocate  
for the complainant.

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

**Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail in case FiR No.443, dated 19.11.2013, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B, IPC registered at Police Station Badshahpur, Gurgaon.

Vide order dated 04.03.2015, this Court has passed the following orders:-

“This is second application for bail on the basis of compromise alleged to have been arrived at between the complainant and the petitioner. Counsel for the complainant has appeared and stated that a post dated cheque of December 2015 has been handed over to the complainant by the petitioner.

Since the second application has been filed on the basis of absolutely changed circumstances which were not existing at the time when the first application was decided, this application may be listed as per roster on 23.03.2015 after obtaining orders from Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice.”

In pursuance of the aforesaid order, Mr. Deepender Singh Advocate has appeared in the Court and admits the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from SI-Atma Ram, P.S.-Kalanwali also admits the factum of compromise effected between the parties, and states that he has no objection if the petitioner is allowed concession of regular bail during the pendency of the trial.

In view the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly, the factum of compromise, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to regular bail to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

**[ Paramjeet Singh ]**  
**Judge**

23.03.2015  
sd