

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-41284 of 2015
Date of Decision: 08.12.2015

Shanti Devi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. B.R. Rana-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier, the petitioner had filed CRM-M-18744 of 2015, however, the same was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one if need arises at subsequent stage. He submits that though FIR was registered on 2.9.1989, but no action has been taken on the same. He further submits that the petitioner has also filed representation dated 31.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) before respondent no.3 in this regard but to no avail.

Notice of motion only to respondents no.1 to 4 at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG,
Punjab accepts notice of behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of the paper book be
supplied to the learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the
present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.3 to
look into the contents of representation dated 31.10.2015 (Annexure
P-5) and decide the same in accordance with law.

December 08, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE