

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9925-2011(O&M)
Decided on: 28.07.2015

Harjinder SinghPetitioner

vs.

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: Mr.A.S.Jattana , Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S.Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.
**

Mahesh Grover, J

CRM-23476-2015

CRM is allowed, as prayed for.

Judgment dated 23.07.2015 passed by the Court of Additional
Sessions Judge, Patiala is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-9925-2011

With the consent of counsel for the parties, present case is
taken on board today.

The petition has been largely rendered infructuous since the
petitioner stands acquitted by the Appellate Court of the allegations levelled
against him, pursuant to the FIR No.50 dated 04.03.2006, under Sections
379, 411, 420, 406, 422, 424, 193 and 201 IPC, registered at Police City
Rajpura.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that despite a number of
inquiries, he was forced to undergo the rigors of trial and incarceration as
well. He further raised allegations against the officer and prayed for
subjecting him to proceedings under Section 182 Cr.P.C and disciplinary
action also needs to be taken against him.

On due consideration of the matter and noticing the fact that the petitioner has been acquitted of the charges against him, I am of the opinion that the petitioner would have a remedy in law to seek damages on account of false implication. He is, thus, left to his remedies in law.

Insofar as second aspect of initiation of proceedings under Section 182 IPC against the officials are concerned, the Director General of Police may look into the matter in the background of the facts and take any action, if so warranted, as expeditiously as possible.

Disposed of accordingly.

28.07.2015
mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE