

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-41083 of 2014
Date of Decision:-11.12.2015**

Rashmi Mehta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Aman Vashisth, Advocate
for the complainant.

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for
Mr. P.J. Singh.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.320 dated 16.5.2014, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Palam Vihar, Gurgaon.

Petitioners are wife and brother-in-law of the complainant. This Court vide order dated 2.12.2014, while issuing notice of motion, has granted interim bail to the petitioners subject to joining the investigation as

and when directed by the Investigating Agency/Investigating Officer and to abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the matter has been compromised with the complainant.

Mr. Aman Vashisth, Advocate, learned counsel appearing for the complainant admits the factum of the compromise, effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ram Niwas, submits that the investigating officer is not aware about the compromise effected between the parties.

To this effect, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted a communication dated 26.10.2015 addressed to the Police Commissioner through concerned SHO, Police Station Palam Vihar, Gurgaon, bringing on record the factum of the compromise effected between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid development, the present petition is allowed and interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 2.12.2014 are made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

December 11, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE