

CRM-M-41070 of 2014
Date of Decision: 05.02.2015

Akashdeep Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Subhash Chand, Advocate
for Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. P.S.Madahar, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance with interim bail granted by this Court and he is ready to face proceedings in accordance with law.

Counsel for the respondent-State has conceded to factual assertions but submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to recover the articles.

Perusal of the allegations set up in the FIR makes its manifest that there is a dispute between the tenant and landlord in regard to vacation of the premises in question. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that

civil litigation is pending between the parties in the Court. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and face proceedings without any default.

In view of the above, petition is allowed and interim bail granted by this court is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

05.02.2015
PARAMJIT