

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4092-2015

Date of Decision: September 8, 2015

Rajinder Kumar Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate,
for Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondents.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions to plead that the charge-sheet (report under Section 173, Cr.P.C.) has been presented, therefore, present petition has been rendered infructuous. He prays for withdrawal of

the same.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Harmesh Lal of Police Station, Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur, very fairly concedes that the charge-sheet has been presented and he has no objection to the above prayer.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

September 8, 2015
Pkapoor

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE