

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40981-2014

Decided on : 25.02.2015

Avtar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present : Mr.Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.N.K.Chopra, DAG, Punjab.
Mr.Vijay Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for quashing of the FIR No.35 dated 16.03.2013, under Sections 307, 323, 506, 341, 148, 149, IPC, and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station, Balachaur District SBS Nagar, alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.11.2014 (Annexure P-2).

In pursuant to the order dated 01.12.2014 and subsequent order dated 08.01.2015, passed by this Court, report dated 15.01.2015 of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Balachaur, has been received. He has reported that the statements of the complainant as well as the accused has been recorded. It has been

reported to this Court that a compromise is effected between the parties and the same is voluntary and without any coercion.

A perusal of the FIR shows that no injury was caused to the complainant party, though the case was registered under 307, 323, 506, 341, 148, 149, IPC and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned State counsel has filed the reply wherein it is stated that inquiry was conducted and on the basis of said inquiry, cancellation report dated 17.08.2013 has been prepared which is being submitted in the Court for approval after exhausting department channels.

Keeping in view the fact that no injury has been caused to the complainant party, and the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the FIR in question alongwith consequential proceedings stands quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge

25.02.2015
sd