

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.02.19 17:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-40804 of 2014

Date of Decision: 19.02.2015

Pardeep Kumar Goyal

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. P.S.Madahar, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

There is no representation on behalf of respondent No. 2 despite service nor report has been received from the trial court in regard to recording of statements of the parties.

From these facts, it can be gathered that as a matter of fact, there is no compromise between the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner is not present to say something about failure of the parties to comply with order order 29.11.2014.

Dismissed.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

19.02.2015

PARAMJIT