

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40601 of 2015.

Decided on:-02.12.2015.

Veerpal Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vishavjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.273 dated 8.10.2015 under Sections 307 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC as well as Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act,1959, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana (Rural).

As per the version of the complainant in the FIR, on 8.10.2015 when he was present at his shop along with labourer, a white colour car suddenly stopped in front of his shop. There were four occupants in the car. The allegation against the petitioner, who is of the same village to which the complainant belongs, is that he fired a shot directly towards the complainant.

Fortunately, that shot hit on the pillar of the shop. The petitioner allegedly fired another shot towards Gurpreet Singh and Kuldeep Singh, which incidentally hit on the shutter of the shop.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He has been named in the FIR and a specific role has been attributed to him. As per the recovery memo, the cartridges were recovered from the spot. Therefore, the possibility of the occurrence cannot be ruled out. As such, the plea of learned counsel for the petitioner that no one is injured is of no relevance for the purpose of consideration on the anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall have no expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of availability of evidence.

December 02, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE