

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 40640 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.04.2015

Sanjay Kumar Sharma

..... Petitioner.

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Devender Punia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate, for the complainant.

None for the respondent.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 20.01.2015, time was sought on behalf of the petitioner to place on record an affidavit of the petitioner as to whether he was ready to make payment to the complainant within a period of two months.

On 27.01.2015, the affidavit was filed, which was taken on record. In view of the same, interim bail for two months was granted to the petitioner with a direction that he shall join investigation.

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that after passing of the above order, the petitioner did not contact him at all. He did not even obtain copy of the order, nor he has any further information.

Counsel for the complainant submits that no payment whatsoever was made by the petitioner. Regarding joining investigation, there is no information, as no one has appeared for U.T. Chandigarh.

In any case, in view of the submissions made by counsel for the

petitioner, it is clear that the petitioner does not deserve the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

07.04.2015
Ramesh