

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40464-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:04.12.2015

Amit @ Meeta

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gaurav Dhir, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Petitioner remains unrepresented. There is a written request for adjournment stating that petitioner is not feeling well.

As the investigating officer has come all the way from Rohtak, prayer for adjournment is hereby rejected.

According to learned State counsel, there are serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to concession of bail at this stage.

Complaint was lodged on the basis of a statement of Manager/Supervisor, security agency. He stated that two security guards were posted for supervision of pipelines in question. On June 19, 2015, accused beat up the security guard Baljeet and Sandeep and broke the pipeline. They stole about 50 ltrs. of petroleum. After the FIR, investigation ensued. Investigating agency came to the conclusion that accused had not only stolen the petroleum but had caused the damage to public property.

Recovery of two containers of 1000 ltrs. each were effected from the accused.

Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner is not entitled to concession of bail. Plea for bail is hereby rejected.

This petition is dismissed.

04.12.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE