

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

256A

Criminal Misc. No. M-40544 of 2014
Date of Decision: 9th January, 2015

Sitla Prasad and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 27.11.2014 passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation where as learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Nirmal Kaur, submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation but no recovery has been effected from them. The petitioners are brother-in-law and mother-in-law respectively of the complainant and the petition filed by the husband is still pending.

Keeping in view the allegations as well as role of the petitioners and also considering the fact that the petitioners have joined investigation, the interim order dated 27.11.2014 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

09.01.2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE