

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.01.07 12:40
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-40541 of 2014

Date of Decision: 06.01.2015

Anjli Rani and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Reply by way of affidavit of Bijender Singh, Inspector/SHO, Police Station, Ratia, District Fatehabad on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, filed in court, is taken on record.

Counsel for the petitioners states that as threat perception of the petitioner does not subsist as on date, the petition may be disposed of.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

06.01.2015

PARAMJIT