

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-40308 of 2015

.....

Date of decision:22.12.2015

Tehal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. Ranjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Varun Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Surjit Singh Sodhi, Advocate for complainant-respondent
No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of Rapat No.17 (DDR) dated 26.5.2012 in FIR No.54 dated 22.5.2012 (Annexure-P2) registered for the offences under Sections 326, 324, 341 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.3).

The Rapat (DDR) has been registered in FIR on the statement of complainant-Paramjit Kaur on the allegations that the accused-petitioners attacked her and inflicted injuries. Now with intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Tam Taran, has sent her report dated 14.12.2015 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the Rapat (DDR) in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been

amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and Rapat No.17 (DDR) dated 26.5.2012 in FIR No.54 dated 22.5.2012 (Annexure-P.2) registered for the offences under Sections 326, 324, 341 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

December 22, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp