

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**Criminal Misc. No.M-4011 of 2015 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision: 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2015**

Naginder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Sullar, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana,  
for the respondent-State.

Ms. Neetu Singh, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.05 dated 16.01.2015, registered at Police Station Barara, District Ambala, under Sections 406, 420 IPC.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for complainant have appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for complainant and have gone through the record.

The FIR in the present case has been registered on the basis of application submitted by Dalbir Kaur complainant against the petitioner with the allegations that her husband was working abroad and their agriculture land, which was in the name of her

father-in-law, was sold by them for an amount of Rs.84,50,000/-. The petitioner has kept the said amount with him being close relative of the complainant party. He purchased 2¼<sup>th</sup> acre of land in the name of Anoop Singh. The complainant has also alleged in the application that now ₹6,00,000/- was also left with the petitioner and with this amount he was to purchase 1 kanal 5 marlas land. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the copy of sale deed dated 27.07.2012 vide which 1 kanal 5 marlas land has been shown to be purchased. Otherwise also, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation purpose nor anything is to be recovered from him. The only allegation against the petitioner is that he has now kept ₹6,00,000/- with him. No useful purpose will be served by sending him to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is accepted and the order dated 09.02.2015, passed by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

**26<sup>th</sup> February, 2015**  
mamta

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**