

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-39982 of 2015
Date of Decision: November 27, 2015**

Jagraj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.H.S.Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, Jagraj Singh, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 384, 385, 388 and 389, IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No.103, dated 15.09.2015, registered at Police Station, Rori, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel contends that the amount was handed over to the co-accused of the petitioner; the allegations levelled in the FIR are improbable; the remaining five co-accused of the petitioner have been arrested and granted bail; the informant had illicit relations with Jaswinder Kaur and hence, the petitioner and his co-accused have been falsely

implicated in the present case; except a sum of ₹15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand only), the remaining amount has already been recovered from the co-accused of the petitioner, therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and with his able assistance gone through the material available on record.

The allegations against the petitioner and his co-accused are that Jaswinder Kaur, one of the co-accused of the petitioner, gave repeated mobile calls to the aggrieved persons to have friendship with her. On 14.09.2015 at about 10:30 a.m., the informant received a call from Jaswinder Kaur who invited the informant to come to the house of her aunt, namely Gurmeet Kaur at Rori, District Sirsa. Jaswinder Kaur took the informant to her aunt's house and immediately thereafter, the petitioner along with his co-accused reached there and threatened the informant to part with heavy amount. ₹10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) lying in the pocket of the informant were snatched by the petitioner and his co-accused. On the next day, the informant received a call from the accused persons to pay more money and as such, a sum of ₹90,000/- (Rupees ninety thousand only) was handed over to the co-accused of the

petitioner. Still the petitioner and his co-accused were not satisfied and pressurized the informant to pay more money. The matter was reported to the police and the present FIR came into existence. The co-accused of the petitioner were arrested and it has emerged on record that the petitioner was accompanying his co-accused.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner and as such, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

November 27, 2015
seema

(Naresh Kumar Sanghi)
Judge