

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
115 **Crl.Misc.No.M-39966 of 2015**
Date of Decision:27.11.2015

Navneet Kaur and another **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana and others **.....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Mr.S.N.Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are apprehending threat at the instance of private respondents. A written representation dated 25.11.2015 was given to respondent No.2, which is annexed as Annexure P-4 with the petition but no action has been taken thereupon so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, notice on behalf of the State has been accepted by Mr.B.S.Virk, D.A.G., Haryana and notice on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 6 has been accepted by Mr.S.K.Gupta,

Advocate, who is present in the Court.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit that no threat was ever given to the petitioners and no threat will be given to them in future also. On the asking of learned counsel appearing on behalf of private respondents, petitioner No.1 is allowed to meet her parents, who are also present in the Court.

Since, no action has been taken on the representation (Annexure P-4) and the same is stated to be pending, without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the prayer made, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ambala, to consider the representation dated 25.11.2015 (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law after hearing the petitioners as well as private respondents. In case, respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that there is serious threat at the instance of private respondents, necessary protection be provided to the petitioners.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 27, 2015
seema