

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

114

Crl.Misc.No.M-39938 of 2015

Date of Decision:27.11.2015

Nirmal Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr.Puneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 to 4 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

Petitioners, in person, are present in the Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have also moved a representation (Annexure P-6) dated 23.11.2015 to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, for protection but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, notice on behalf of the State has been accepted by Mr.A.S.Kler, D.A.G., Punjab.

Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider the representation moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law after hearing the petitioners as well as private respondents.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

November 27, 2015

seema