

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-640-2012

Date of decision: 09.03.2015

Charan Singh @ Channa and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Surinder Dagar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court for quashing of complaint No.260 dated 24.12.2008 (Annexure P-1), consequent summoning order dated 19.01.2011 (Annexure P-2) and all other proceedings in this regard.

The parties are related and during the pendency of the petition efforts were made to resolve the issues *inter se* between them through the process of mediation which are succeeded.

The settlement agreement has been received from the Mediator, outlining the terms of the settlement which has been duly accepted and signed by the parties. The terms of the settlement broadly as follows:-

“a) That the parties have agreed that the

complaint, which was filed by second party was due to the intervention and provocation of their near relatives, who have created misunderstanding between the first and the second party after the death of sister-Mamta of Charan Singh, who was wife of younger brother-Karam Chand of second party.

b) That Charan Singh and Baldev Singh, who are petitioners are relatives of second party i.e. Prem Chand-respondent No.2.

c) That Joginder Singh and Satwinder Singh of first party are co-villagers and relatives of second party have also resolved their dispute amicably.

*d) That the second party has agreed to have no objection for the quashing of the complaint No.260 dated 24.12.2008 titled as “**Prem Chand Vs. Jai Ram and others**”, in which the summoning order dated 19.01.2011 were issued against the first party.*

7. By signing this agreement the parties hereto state that they have no further claims or demands against each other with respect to the suit/claim/proceedings filed by both and all the disputes and differences in this regard have been amicably settled by the parties hereto through the process of Conciliation/Mediation and shall not institute any other case against each other with reference to the present claim.

8. That the parties undertake before the Hon'ble Court to abide by the terms and conditions set out in the agreement and not to dispute the same hereinafter in future.

9. *That the parties to this agreement hereby state that they have read the agreement, that they have understood the contents thereof and their execution of agreement is voluntary. Each party has relied upon or has had the opportunity to seek legal advice of their counsel.*

10. *The execution of this agreement, each signatory acknowledges receipt of fully executed duplicate/original of this agreement.*

11. *That it has been further agreed between the parties that in case of necessity, both the parties shall be free to present the copy of the above compromise before any authority or Court, if the same is required to witness the execution of the compromise or to settle any pending controversy between the parties.*

Having regard to the aforesaid complaint and the consequent summoning order are quashed, in view of the settlement *inter se* between the parties.

Petition stands disposed of, in view of the above terms.

09.03.2015

Naveen

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**