

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42698-2013
Date of decision: 3.12.2015

Gurbir Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Tarlochan Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vivek Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioners, by way of instant petition, seek quashing of impugned complaint as well as summoning order, however, without availing their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition, if necessity arises. It is also made clear that if the petitioners would file appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioners.

Disposed of, accordingly.

3.12.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE