

CRM-M-39836-2015

Date of decision : 23.12.2015

Madan Singh and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.S.S.Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mamta Malik Rewar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed in case bearing
FIR No. 03 dated 04.09.2015, under Sections 498-A/406/323 IPC
registered at Police Station Women, District Ambala.

On 27.11.2015 the following contention was noticed :-

*“Learned counsel states that even though son of the
petitioners was wrongly arrested in this false case yet the
petitioners are still keen that this unfortunate matrimonial
dispute be settled.”*

Thereafter the parties have been appearing before this
Court. The son of the petitioner had stated that he would still want to
rehabilitate the marriage but respondent No.2 had stated that it would
not be possible for her to rehabilitate the marriage. Thereafter the
matter was adjourned to see if the parties could bring an amicable end

to this dispute. The respondent No.2 had initially claimed that she should be paid Rs.30 lacs while the son of the petitioners had stated that he would be able to pay only Rs.4 lacs. After two or three protracted negotiations the respondent No.2 has today stated that she wants to get over this unfortunate phase in her life and would be ready to settle her entire claim for Rs.7.5 lacs. Even this figure is not acceptable to the son of the petitioners. I find that the petitioners and their son have been abusing the process of the Court and have succeeded in getting an interim order even though they had no intention to settle the matter.

In the circumstances the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

December 23, 2015
sunita